Bonhomme, Penny

From: Betty Jane Vitagliano [bjvrdh@charter.net]

Sent: Sunday, March 18, 2012 11:28 AM

To: PHC Testimony

Subject: HB 5541 AAC Services Provided by Dental Professionals and Certification for ADVANCED DENTAL

HYGIENE PRACTITIONERS

Betty Jane Vitagliano, RDH 72 Westwood Road Woodbury, CT 06798

March 18, 2012

SUPPORT for HB 5541 An act Concerning services Provided by Dental Professionals and Certification for Advanced Dental Hygiene Practitioners

The Honorable Terry B. Gerratana, Co-Chair; the Honorable Elizabeth B. Ritter, Co-Chair; the Honorable Gayle S. Slossberg, Vice chair; the Honorable Christopher Lyddy, Vice Chair; and members of the Public Health Committee:

I support HB 5541 which will add the Advanced Dental Hygiene Practitioner (ADHP) to the dental team.

- The ADHP will be a midlevel dental provider, just as the Nurse Practitioner (APRN) is in the medical field.
- The ADHP will provide primary and secondary preventive dental services to the underserved in Connecticut.
- The ADHP will increase the care to underserved populations by increasing the capacity of programs to provide preventive and restorative services in a cost effective manner.
- The ADHP will work in <u>collaboration</u> with dentists and other healthcare professionals to deliver services.
- The ADHP will not replace any member of the dental team but will supplement the ability to provide expanded oral healthcare in public health settings.
- The education proposed for the ADHP is a Masters level degree, built on scientific knowledge and evidence based skills founded in prevention.
- The education for each separate competency is comparable to the education and training received in dental school for each competency, and would be more than adequate.
- The proposal provides for competency exams, continuing education and certification; we as RDH's ask for licensure not certification.
- The cost to the state will be insignificant and may actually bring in money, as the fee for ADHP is proposed at an additional \$200 above our \$100 license fee.

- The proposed expanded function dental auxiliary (EFDA) is not based on a licensed provider and cannot work in public health settings without supervision of a dentist. Since there is difficulty in recruiting and retaining dentists to provide restorative services in public health settings, the EFDA may increase efficiency but would not increase access to care.
- There may be room for both the ADHP and EFDA, however, the ADHP will create wider access and utilization for health care.

Respectfully submitted, Betty Jane Vitagliano, RDH bivrdh@charter.net 203 263 0469